

Northern Gateway Pipelines Limited Partnership ("Northern Gateway")
 Section 52 of the National Energy Board Act
 Application for Enbridge Northern Gateway Project
 NEB File No.: OF-Fac-Oil-N304-2010-01 01

Northern Gateway Information Request No. 1
 To: Douglas Channel Watch

Qualifications		
1.1	Reference:	(i) Written Evidence Regarding Proposed Liquid Petroleum Pipelines from the proposed Nimbus Mountain West Portal to the Kitimat River Estuary submitted by Murray Minchin of Douglas Channel Watch, paragraph 3 (adobe page 2) (A2K0Y8). (ii) Supplemental Written Evidence Photographic Evidence Regarding Proposed Liquid Petroleum Pipelines from Nimbus Mountain to the Kitimat River Estuary submitted by Murray Minchin of Douglas Channel Watch (A2K717).
	Preamble:	In References (i) and (ii), Mr. Minchin provides extensive opinion relative to geotechnical and other technical matters.
	Request:	Please provide Mr. Minchin's curriculum vitae which includes his education, training and employment history, to demonstrate his qualifications to provide geotechnical and other technical opinions that appear in References (i) and (ii).

Public Consultation – Community Advisory Boards ("CABs")		
1.2	Reference:	Transcript, Volume 9, January 11, 2012, paragraphs 4781 – 4785 (adobe pages 50-51) (A2K8X2).
	Preamble:	Ms. Cheryl Brown provided oral evidence to the Panel as a member of the Douglas Channel Watch. She advised that she is a member of the Enbridge CAB in Kitimat. She also said that the CABs are "completely Enbridge driven. It's been a respectful process but not a balanced one. It has presented information to the table about the project but has not addressed the hard questions. I'm not sure who it is advising, except to reassure the Enbridge – Enbridge that the process that it's attempting to meet its community mandate but the CAB is not an independent entity within this."
	Request:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Please advise how many CAB meetings Ms. Brown has attended? (b) Please confirm the format of the CAB meetings has evolved since its inception to now? (c) In Ms. Brown's opinion, as the format of the CABs has evolved over time, have the CABs become less influenced by Enbridge over time (compared to the initial CAB format)? (d) Ms. Brown stated that she is opposed to the Project. Are there any other people who participate in the CAB process that are also opposed to the Project? (e) Is Ms. Brown aware of the Terms of Reference and the Operational Guidelines that have been developed and adopted by the CABs? (f) Did Ms. Brown have an opportunity to provide input into the development of the CAB Terms of Reference and Operational Guidelines? (g) Did Ms. Brown in fact provide input into the CAB Terms of Reference and Operational Guidelines? (h) Has Northern Gateway ever asked Ms. Brown for her input, including agenda items and suggestions for speakers, over the course of the CAB process? (i) Has Ms. Brown offered a suggestion for a speaker that would have provided a differing viewpoint from those of Northern Gateway? (j) How many times has Northern Gateway attempted to re-engage stakeholders in the CAB process? (k) Round 12 of the CABs in March were dubbed "Bring a Guest CAB". Did Ms. Brown take the opportunity to bring a guest to the CABs, as invited? (l) Has Northern Gateway invited opponents to present at the Coast CAB?

		<p>(m) Ms. Brown states that Enbridge has not addressed the hard questions. Please confirm that Northern Gateway responded to questions put forth by the Douglas Channel Watch in Letters to the Editor in both the Kitimat Northern Sentinel and Terrace Standard in August of 2009.</p>
--	--	---